

Asia Pacific

Top Level Domain Association

APTLD



APTLD Internal Issues Survey 2010

June 2010

APTLD Sri Lanka Meeting


Survey Period and Responses

- Sent out on 12 May 2010
- Ended on 2 June 2010
- 14 Ordinary Members responses;
- 5 Associate Members responses

Do you agree that eligibility for Ordinary Membership should be expanded to include non-ccLTD's (e.g. .asia)?


-Result from Ordinary Members (14 responses)

3. Do you agree that eligibility for Ordinary Membership should be expanded to include non-ccLTD's (for example, .asia)?



-Result from Associate Members (4 responses)


3.Do you agree that eligibility for Ordinary Membership should be expanded to include non-ccLTD's (for example, .asia)?(result from Associate Members)



If you answered “Yes” to question 3, please indicate which of the following should be eligible for APTLD ordinary membership (you can select multiple items).


-Result from Ordinary Members (7 Responses)

4.If you answered “Yes” to question 3, please indicate which of the following should be eligible for APTLD ordinary membership (you can select multiple items).



-Result from Associate Member (3 responses)


4.If you answered "Yes" to question 3, please indicate which of the following should be eligible for APTLD ordinary membership (you can select multiple items).



Do you agree that a specific number of board seats should be allocated to different sub-regions?


-Result from Ordinary Members (12 responses)

6a. Do you agree that a specific number of board seats should be allocated to different sub-regions?



-Result from Associate Members (2 responses)


6a. Do you agree that a specific number of board seats should be allocated to different sub-regions? (result from Associate Members)



If your answer to Q6a is "Yes", do you think it would also be necessary to increase the number of board seats to accommodate all the sub-regions?


-Result from Ordinary Members (8 responses)

6b.If your answer to Q6a is "Yes", do you think it would also be necessary to increase the number of board seats to accommodate all the sub-regions?"



-Result from Associate Members (1 response)


6b.If your answer to Q6a is "Yes", do you think it would also be necessary to increase the number of board seats to accommodate all the sub-regions?"(from Associate members)



If you answer to Q6a is “Yes”, when there are board seats not filled during a term, should APTLD leave those board seat vacant, or should it allow candidates from other sub-regions to fill those board seats?


-Result from Ordinary Members (8 responses)

6c. If your answer to Q6a is “Yes”, when there are board seats not filled during a term, should APTLD leave those board seats vacant, or should it allow candidates from other sub-regions to fill those board seats?




-Result from Associate Members (1 response)

6c. If your answer to Q6a is "Yes", when there are board seats not filled during a term, should APTLD leave those board seats vacant, or should it allow candidates from other sub-regions to fill those board seats?(results from Associate Members)




Apart from geographic representation, should APTLD specify board seats for any other representation purposes as follows:

-Result from Ordinary Members (12 Responses)




-Result from Associate Members (2 responses)

7. Apart from geographic representation, should APTLD specify board seats for any other representation purposes as follows: (results from Associate Members)




Currently any ordinary members are able to stand for the Board. Do you support the idea of a nominating committee being used to fill some seats on the Board, to ensure diversity and a balance of skills of board members?
-Result from Ordinary Members (13 responses)

8. Currently any ordinary members are able to stand for the Board. Do you support the idea of a nominating committee being used to fill some seats on the Board, to ensure diversity and a balance of skills of board members?



-Result from Associate Members (2 responses)


8. Currently any ordinary members are able to stand for the Board. Do you support the idea of a nominating committee being used to fill some seats on the Board, to ensure diversity and a balance of skills of board members? (from Associate Members)



Do you think the current method of paying membership fees is satisfactory?


-Result from Ordinary Members (13 responses)

9.Do you think the current method of paying membership fees is satisfactory?



-Result from Associate Members (2 responses)


9. Do you think the current method of paying membership fees is satisfactory?
(results from Associate Members)



If you answer to Q9 is “No”, do you think APTLD should have compulsory fee bands applicable to the domain name numbers/financial turnover levels?


-Result from Ordinary Members (5 responses)

10.If your answer to Q9 is “No”, do you think APTLD should have compulsory fee bands applicable to the domain name numbers / financial turnover levels?



-Result from Associate Members only (1 response)


10. If your answer to Q9 is "No", do you think APTLD should have compulsory fee bands applicable to the domain name numbers / financial turnover levels?(result from Associate Members)



Should APTLD introduce a differential to its voting, with the higher levels of fees paid by a ccTLD resulting in greater number of votes being available to that ccTLD?


-Result from Ordinary Members (13 responses)

11. Should APTLD introduce a differential to its voting, with the higher levels of fees paid by a ccTLD resulting in greater number of votes being available to that ccTLD?



-Result from Associate Members (2 responses)


11. Should APTLD introduce a differential to its voting, with the higher levels of fees paid by a ccTLD resulting in greater number of votes being available to that ccTLD?
(result from Associate Members)



Do you think the Associate Membership fees are appropriate?


-Result from Ordinary Members (13 responses)

12. Do you think the Associate Membership fees are appropriate? Associate Membership Fee Bands: Band Fee / # of Domains / US\$ equivalent Revenue
1 US\$150 <1,000 <\$10,000
2 US\$500 <5,000 <\$50,000 3 US\$1,500 <30,000 <\$200,000 4 US\$3,000 <60,000 <\$400,000 5 US\$5,000 <100,000 <\$500,000 6 US\$7,500 <200,000 <\$750,000 7 US\$10,000 <250,000 <\$1,000,000 8 US\$15,000 <500,000 <\$2,000,000 9 US\$20,000 <750,000 <\$5,000,000 10 US\$25,000 >750,000 >\$5,000,000



-Result From Associate Members (2 responses)

12. Do you think the Associate Membership fees are appropriate? Associate Membership Fee Bands: Band Fee 1 US\$150 2 US\$500 3 US\$1,500 4 US\$3,000 5 US\$5,000 6 US\$7,500 7 US\$10,000 8 US\$15,000 9 US\$20,000 10 US\$25,000 (results from Associate Members)



Please provide general comments on what you feel are the top priorities for APTLD to address in the next 2 – 3 years?

- Engagement of current Members
- Strengthen communications and experience-sharing in administrative and technical aspects with members.
- Stand for the interests of members to communicate with different Internet communities.
- Establish a mechanism and form a platform to invite members' opinion and discussion.

- unite APTLD on issues of general interests;
- to form an intense connection within members;
- Represent the AP regional ccTLD's needs to the internet society. And deliver the trends and requirements to our members
- Outreach;
- submissions on all ICANN issues;
- netsafe programmes in developing countries

- Security & Stability (including DNSSEC), IDN, IPv6, ENUM, Internet Governance and Access issues
- Define strategic focus and direction of APTLD
 - Identify how to enhance information sharing (technical and policy) amongst members (for example, establish groups within APTLD to focus on matters like technical, legal etc)

- Ensure support smaller ccTLD members are at least on-par with the rest of the region in its operations and administration. Continue and strengthen the support given to similar organizations in the region to further develop the interest in the Internet industry
- Best practices for ccTLDs Security and resilience against attacks
- IDNs and IPv6. I think full throttle will be on these two topics for the next few years

Thanks!

- June 2010
- Jian Zhang
- jian@aptld.org