Joanna Tso 09:58:28  Good Morning, Chris and Chanki

Chris Disspain 09:58:37  Hi

Chan-ki Park 09:58:44  hello

Ian Chiang 09:59:26  Hi

Joanna Tso 10:03:04  Hi Shariya

Ian Chiang 10:03:25  today's agenda is on the white board for your reference

Chris Disspain 10:04:35  we are waiting for PDT and who else?

SHZ, .my 10:05:03  hi everyone.

Ian Chiang 10:05:41  prof. Qian might join us later

Ian Chiang 10:06:47  Richard is the same as Prof. Qian

Chris Disspain 10:08:27  PDT is just trying to connect

Chris Disspain 10:12:46  greetings peter

Peter Dengate 10:12:54  Hi sorry I am late Have you started?

Thrush

Ian Chiang 10:12:57  Hi Peter

Chris Disspain 10:13:04  waiting for illustrious chair

Ian Chiang 10:13:51  The agenda items include:

1. Preparation of July meetings
1.1 ccTLD Meeting
1.2 ccNSO meeting
1.3 APTLD Meeting
2. The Auditing of APTLD Budget
3. ccNSO Matters
3.1 ICANN Bylaw Amendment
3.2 AP Nominations for ccNSO Election
4. APTLD Endorsement of the dot Asia Proposal

Well, let's start with the July meetings - we have this difficult balance of ccTLD and ccNSO meetings to deal with thoughts?

hi peter, in the ICANN meeting website, we now only see ccNSO meeting, no ccTLD meeting....

Yes – that’s because the ccTLD meeting is not an "ICANN" meeting, but, as always, held at the same time.....

will we have this time at KL?

I think ccNSO meeting will be fine, and GNSO is supporting the secretarial works, right? so the worry is ccTLD meeting, do APTLD support having a separate ccTLD meeting and what's APTLD’s role in this.
Chris Disspain 10:16:41 that's right Joanna

Chris Disspain 10:16:55 do the aptld members want a cctld meeting in KL?

SHZ, .my 10:17:55 We would need to pose this qn to our members.

There will also be an APTLD meeting.

Chris Disspain 10:18:02 yes

Chris Disspain 10:18:09 apTLD meeting is scheduled for 18th

Joanna Tso 10:18:10 probably not a good idea to suggest not have one,

because even some APTLD members are not ccNSO members yet

Chris Disspain 10:18:15 ccNSO meeting for 19th

Peter Dengate 10:18:37 I think we do want to have 2 meetings - until

Thrush the ccnso has a majority (or many more) members

than now. We don't want to force a break between

the members and the "rest" mostly Europe...

Chris Disspain 10:18:56 i agree Peter

Yumi Ohashi 10:18:59 Agree with Peter. I worry about segmentation.

Chris Disspain 10:19:34 is there a mechanism for organising a cctld meeting?

SHZ, .my 10:19:37 Which means there are 3 possible meetings in July

for AP members -- ccNSO, ccTLD and APTLD

Chris Disspain 10:19:38 a committee?

Chris Disspain 10:19:42 the adcom?
Peter Dengate  10:19:54  I think once we have 2 meetings only a few more
times, people will start to wonder why... and it will
sort itself out Until then, cctlds in Rome wanted a
meeting separate from the SO meeting,

Chiao Ching  10:20:21  an idea on this is that since gnso will
support ccnso secretariat work, so aptld as the
regional org. will call for a cctld meeting to continue
the "tradition"

Peter Dengate  10:21:07  A committee ?- yes Either the old adcom or a new
Thrushed  one - much the same to me - its the Europeans who
might want to call it something else

Joanna Tso  10:21:07  this sounds a good idea

Yumi Ohashi  10:21:31  using previous adcom structure with european rep is
nice.

Chris Disspain  10:21:34  who will pay for the meetng?

Peter Dengate  10:21:45  gnso - no - there is nor suggestion the gnso is or will
Thrushed  support the ccnso - actually thats another whole
topic.....come back to it

Chiao Ching  10:22:02  understood

Chris Disspain  10:23:00  if there is to be a cctld meeting in KL someone will
have to provide secretariat services at the meeting

Chris Disspain  10:23:10  someone will have to organise prior to meeting
and there will be a $ to be covered

pay - previously funded by attendees (a little) and contributions to the ccTLD constituency (mostly) with some countries regularly putting in US$5000. Now, without a secretariat etc, no one is funding anything

is there any $ in the bank of ccTLD

There’s no ccTLD money left the last was spent in Carthage, and Andy had to get funding from attendees in Rome,

so who would be responsible to organising meeting and ensuring enough $ collected to cover cost?

is that a role for apTLD?

I don't think money will be a problem. The euros will fund at least their share of a meeting. Why don’t we log this a question, and move on?

ok

Sorry about spelling!!

if we will a ccTLD meeting at KL (two days meeting), then we have re-schedule our APTLD meeting on 16th July.

move on to..?
Peter Dengate 10:26:56 I suggest we re-appoint me as one delegate to whichever committee the euros are happy with (adcom/new) and 2 appoint Joanna/ian as alternate, and we approach them to see what they want

Chris Disspain 10:27:11 good idea

Yumi Ohashi 10:27:27 agree. thank you, peter.

Chiao Ching 10:27:27 sounds good

Joanna Tso 10:27:46 happy to be of service :-)

Ian Chiang 10:28:00 me too

Peter Dengate 10:28:14 Next. is it fair to ask aptld secretariat to do most of the admin work for the ccnso meeting? in conjunction with ICANN staff?

Chris Disspain 10:28:36 what sort of admin work do you mean?

SHZ, .my 10:29:29 Has there been any discussion on a separate Sec for ccnso?

Chris Disspain 10:30:10 Shariya - no. Will be discussed in KL

Chris Disspain 10:30:56 ICANN staff are organising the room, web cast, coffee breaks etc

Chris Disspain 10:31:05 for ccNSO meeting

Peter Dengate 10:31:14 I mean supporting the meeting - getting papers from speakers, running projectors, posting minutes, taking
money at the door, issuing badges, ensuring coffee is available. etc etc I know the ICANN staff will do a little, but not this....

Chris Disspain 10:31:25 OK

Joanna Tso 10:31:56 my thought is that gnso supports the secretariat work for ccnso this time in KL, and the newly elected ccnso council will discuss about future ccnso secretariat. APTLD can focus on cctld because it has no money and no secretariat

Chris Disspain 10:32:20 There seems to be some confusion about the role of gnso

Chris Disspain 10:32:34 the gnso is not supporting ccnso in any way

Joanna Tso 10:32:35 but of course, speaking as the aptld secretariat, we can support all, ccnso, cctld and aptld. it will be exciting

Chris Disspain 10:32:56 except that Glen has agreed to run the ccNSo elections

Joanna Tso 10:33:05 aren't they temporary supporting ccnso for the KL meeting?

Joanna Tso 10:33:20 oh, sorry, i got it wrong then.
Chris Disspain 10:33:53 My understanding is that support for all room matters and coffee and equipment etc will be provided by ICANN

Peter Dengate 10:33:53 Agreed Chris - it has few staff and she's pretty busy already! Thanks Joanna - what can we do to help - and does that mean a formal additional payment to APTLD secretariat? I would support that, as this is clearly extra work, outside our budget.

Chris Disspain 10:34:10 They will also provide web cast facilities and scribes on 19th

Joanna Tso 10:34:22 well, then, it will be exciting for aptld secretariat to handle everything if the Board think it's a good thing for aptld

Chris Disspain 10:34:37 But other than that, support from apTLD secretariat will be most welcome

Joanna Tso .10:35:22 doing the work is no problem, the major issue is the cost for cctld meeting, since the room for ccnso will be provided by icann

Peter Dengate 10:36:16 I suppose I had in mind the secretariat going into

Thrush specialist "meeting support mode" for 3 meetings: aptld, ccnso. Then ctld...... we'd be pretty
good at it by then! Leave the costs issue for us to raise on the new committee?

Chris Disspain  10:36:42  cost - agree - cctld committee

Peter Dengate  10:37:10  I think APTLD could vote additional funds to the sec. for the ccnso meeting, and ask the new committee for funds to support cctld meeting?

Chris Disspain  10:37:09  so far then we agree that aptld secretariat will provide services for aptld and ccnso meetings

Peter Dengate  10:37:39  who did we lose

Thrush

SHZ, .my  10:37:52  Let me try to find out what the cost would be here in KL

Peter Dengate  10:38:35  Thanks shariya

Thrush

Peter Dengate  10:39:07  Can we move on?

Thrush

Joanna Tso  10:39:10  ching left, maybe just connection problem, he's attending outside of TWNIC office, all of us (TWNIC) are

SHZ, .my  10:39:16  Let me also explore possible sponsorship

Joanna Tso  10:39:27  did we also agree to provide service to cctld meeting?
Peter Dengate 10:41:20 I think we are happy that aptld will

        (1) host its own meeting, as usual,

        (2) will fund the sec. to support the ccnso meeting, and

        (3) will support the cctld meeting if finance can be arranged. Joanna and I will join with others on aptld adcom list to see about finance and come back to you all...

Chris Disspain 10:43:47 sounds good to me

Chan-ki Park 10:43:53 sounds good

Peter Dengate 10:44:16 If thats ok, we need within a week or three to get

        some idea what extra funding is necessary to compensate the sec. for additional work in hosting ccnso meeting. I suggest the sec discusses with Shariya and ICANN staff as to what's required, and liaises with Chris as to what the SO wants....?

SHZ, .my 10:44:50 OK

Joanna Tso 10:45:10 okay

Ian Chiang 10:45:15 ok

Peter Dengate 10:45:27 ...the same information will be very similar to what

        will be required for the cctld meeting, except that
this time, we will be looking for contributions from all the cctlds.....?

Peter Dengate 10:47:54 If thats ok, can we move to the question of the audit

   Thrush - Chanki can you explain the choices? Joanna?

Joanna Tso 10:50:26 there are 2 options proposed

Chan-ki Park 10:50:26 am i still on

Ian Chiang 10:50:33 yes

Joanna Tso 10:50:36 I've negotiated a total of USD3500 for KPMG to audit aptldfinance for 2003 and 2004, the options are that we go ahead and hire KPMG, or we give up the auditing totally. actually, option 3 will be we hire KPMG for 2004, and give up 2003, but I will have to ask KPMG how much they will require for just 2004 auditing.

Joanna Tso 10:51:37 for one auditing, KPMG had quoted me USD2000 previously when I ask for 2003 auditing only

Joanna Tso 10:52:14 Shariya, what's your view from the constitution aspect?

Chan-ki Park 10:52:54 the constitution seems fine with our current states

SHZ, .my 10:53:09 It should be OK. The auditor needs to be approved by members at the next meeting.

Chan-ki Park 10:53:30 it says
19.1 At least once in every year the accounts of the Association shall be examined and the correctness of the receipts and payments account and the balance sheet, ascertained by a properly qualified Auditor, who shall prepare a report for the upcoming Annual General Meeting on the correctness thereof.

19.2 The Auditor shall be appointed at the Annual General Meeting and shall hold office for the ensuing year and shall be eligible for re-appointment.

SHZ, 10:53:51 The requirement is for 2004 accounts, not 2003 as legally we were not formed in 2003

Chan-ki Park 10:54:41 I don't disagree on having a certified auditor, and I know that this certified auditor will lessen the risk of secretariats. However, the volume of money we handle is so small. For example, last year our income was about $55,000. And we had only 11 transactions total around $23,000. I think this is manageable size internally. What's other's opinions?

Peter Dengate 10:55:44 I tend to think the staff deserve the protection of a full audit, which is also good international practice, and we have the money in the budget. Also, the
members are entitled to security as to what happened to their money. The constitution wasn't in place for 2003, but I think we go ahead and treat it to a full audit. I know it seems expensive, given our size, but.....better to do it

Chris Disspain 10:56:05 Agree with Peter

Yumi Ohashi 10:56:24 I think we should hire auditor to of course to protect the sec, and also to ensure transparency and soundness of account.

Chan-ki Park 10:56:54 spending 7% of our income?

SHZ, .my 10:57:06 If its a qn of cost, would board want me to ask for KL quotations? It might be a bit cheaper...And it would be in Ringgit, not USD

Chan-ki Park 10:57:16 If you all agree hiring the audit, it's fine with me

Chris Disspain 10:57:54 I suspect we could get a proper audit done for less than KPMG

Chris Disspain 10:58:19 it seems to me it does not need to be a top tier accounts firm

Chris Disspain 10:58:41 a good malaysian firm would be fine

Chan-ki Park 10:59:09 I think the sec and the audit has to be close...

Peter Dengate 10:59:18 I find that the first cost is more expensive - one they have done it and know us and how small we are, it
might get cheaper. I'd be ok with other quotes, but it needs to be at best practice standard. Doesn't it need to be in Taiwan, where the books - and answers to questions are?

Joanna Tso 10:59:34 the aptld account is a USD account, and for local banking reason, it contains lots of currency transfer back and forth between USD, NT$ and other currency where we have meeting, so it's better to have local Taiwan auditor to get all the currency conversion right?

Chris Disspain 11:00:10 If the audit is only for our purposes then it can be in Taiwan

Chris Disspain 11:00:51 BUT

Chris Disspain 11:01:14 I suggest we check in Malaysian to see whether under our constitution the term auditor is defined

SHZ, .my 11:01:53 Its not defined

Chris Disspain 11:02:09 so it can be an n on-Malaysian firm?

Joanna Tso 11:02:28 I can seek whether there are another less expensive international branch auditor. But the secretariat moves, nevertheless, local auditing should be better option

Chan-ki Park 11:03:13 sounds good
Peter Dengate 11:03:51 OK - lets vote to spend the 3500 on audits for two years.... all in favour?

Yumi Ohashi 11:04:10 yes

Chris Disspain 11:04:16 yes

SHZ, .my 11:04:17 Yes

Joanna Tso 11:05:15 yes on behalf of Vincent (he told me yesterday)

Peter Dengate 11:05:40 ok carried.

Thrush

Peter Dengate 11:06:04 The next issue is the ccNSO. First, I think everyone knows the bylaws are amended, which should take out many of the more serious worries. If anyone has questions about them, I'm happy to take now, or better, off line.

Peter Dengate 11:07:54 We have 8 members so far from AP region, with indications they will join from .ph, .th. Sri Lanka has just filed, and others coming. Iran is also interested, and Turkey has joined, and I expect Jordan also

Peter Dengate 11:08:51 The issue for us is the possible councilors from the AP region. ccnso members in each region gets to elect 3 reps. I am not going to stand for the Council, as I have done 3 years or so on adcom. Happy to stay working for APTLD, and will probably stand
for one of the board seats the Council will elect later in the year.

Peter Dengate 11:10:59 we seem to have lost chris and shariya?

Thrush

Ian Chiang 11:11:12 Shariya is on

Ian Chiang 11:11:21 but not Chirs

Ian Chiang 11:11:38 typo-Chris

Peter Dengate 11:11:59 well, now we can talk about him :-))

Thrush

Yumi Ohashi 11:12:05 I am not sure if it is appropriate to discuss nominating someone from APTLD, but we can discuss general requirements for the candidates. In my opinion, the preferable candidate have good knowledge about the purpose, role and architecture about ccNSO, and about ccTLD community. So someone already working well within the ccTLD community is better choice, in general.

Chris Disspain 11:12:44 sorry - dropped out after i asked if it ia appropriate for aptld to have a position on ccnso nominations to council

Chris Disspain 11:12:52 has anyone responded?
I am not sure if it is appropriate to discuss nominating someone from APTLD since there are some ccNSO mems from AP region who are still not APTLD members, but we can discuss general requirements for the candidates. In my opinion, the preferable candidate have good knowledge about the purpose, role and architecture about ccNSO, and about ccTLD community. So someone already working well within the ccTLD community is better choice, in general.

I think we can talk about, as Yumi says. It would be good to have people on the council familiar with the AP issues, which seems to me to include ( without excluding anyone), people like Chris and Hiro, who have been on the Assistance and Launching groups...who are some other people who might be good for AP region? APTLD is likely to play quite a role in future, with ccnso....

I agree that we can discuss.

are we seeking an outcome/resolution/decision or just a general discussion?
SHZ, .my 11:15:50 Will this issue be raised on the members list for their input?

Peter Dengate 11:16:17 General discussion only I think we need

Thrush to realise that nominations are about to open, and to see if there's anything that is good for, or affects APTLD. Yes, happy to have on members list also...

Yumi Ohashi 11:16:29 how about just make the minutes open and say that APTLD board prefers the above-mentioned qualification...?

Chris Disspain 11:16:35 nominations are already open...

Chris Disspain 11:17:10 good idea Yumi..

Chris Disspain 11:17:45 so what do we think those 'qualifications' might be

Peter Dengate 11:17:59 For example, we might think it suitable to try and get reps from the Pacific, the Asian and the Middle Eastern part of our region? or are there more important qual's than geography...

Chris Disspain 11:18:45 Peter - given the small numbers at the moment, attempting to split our region into smaller regions might not be a good thing

Chris Disspain 11:19:48 the key at the moment is, in my opinion, candidates who can contribute and have familiarity with ap issues and can thus represent the region
Yumi Ohashi  11:19:58  we should not hold geographical qualification within
AP so far, still too few members... then qualifications:
familiarity, expertise, time and energy to contribute?

SHZ, .my  11:20:26  Agree with Chris and Yumi. Should try to avoid
segmentation

Peter Dengate  11:20:53  agree. with you three....i

Thrush

Chris Disspain  11:22:06  I think before this discussion goes too much further,
I should declare that I intend to stand for the council
assuming i can find a members to nominate me and
another to second me

Peter Dengate  11:23:12  I think thats a possibility....:-) Thanks for the
Thrush  declaration. Anyone else...?

Yumi Ohashi  11:23:13  JP is nominating Hotta, as we think he meets the
requirements.

Peter Dengate  11:23:31  Thanks - good !

Thrush

Chris Disspain  11:24:13  Yumi - .au would be happy to second Hotta San

Yumi Ohashi  11:24:42  thank you chris! JP will second you as well!

Chris Disspain  11:25:16  This is in danger of turning into a 'mutual
appreciation society' ;-)
Peter Dengate 11:25:25  Good to see co-operation! Not sure there's much more to be said, now.. Lets see how it develops and come back to it as the election develops. There will eventually be a candidate's statement prepared, Perhaps we can host a Q and A session with the candidates...

Chris Disspain 11:25:58  good idea Peter

Yumi Ohashi 11:26:06  Agreed.

SHZ, .my 11:26:15  This should all be included in the email to members. Best to start asap. Could the Sec draft it and when can it be sent out?

Ian Chiang 11:26:44  sure will do so asap

Peter Dengate 11:27:16  Thanks Ian - Can we move on to the dot asia bid -

Thrush  can we post support in the next few hours for this? Many of us are members, but we are talking here about APTLD support. I am happy to move this, and to write a brief support piece if awe agree to support

Peter Dengate 11:28:00  sorry "piece"and "we"....old funny keyboard...

Thrush  mutter mutter

Chris Disspain 11:28:30  have any ap members posted individual support?

Peter Dengate 11:29:50  So far, I know .nu has, based on the idn issues

Thrush
Che Hoo has emailed a few points to me to pass on to Board. I was particularly keen on development projects support by them for APTLD.

Any objection if APTLD says something supportive? It has to be done today, perhaps if Che Hoo's points were shared Shariya...? can you cut and paste?

List of support letters are on the white board now.

JP is also posting simple support statement this afternoon. From APTLD point of view, we can mobilize this DotAsia to promote outreach and other projects.

Happy to agree

His points were -- - Clear synergy between DotAsia and APTLD, especially on development side: - Outreach to recruit new APTLD members (we have done that for MO, ID, IR and IN and we'll continue to do that) - Potential financial aid to APTLD - Training and technical knowledge sharing - No capital liability from APTLD - One initial board seat still open for founding members (from AP groups) - Looking for LOI only at this time (formal
membership agreement will be created upon
delegation of .Asia from ICANN)

Peter Dengate  11:35:35  Seems ok I have to say I am very skeptical it will be
Thrush  granted, but see no harm in showing support. How
do they feel?

Chan-ki Park  11:35:36  .kr has no objection aptld saying something
supportive...

Chris Disspain  11:36:11  ditto .au

Peter Dengate  11:37:18  OK then. I'll try and get something done - but its
Thrush  later here than where you all are....

Peter Dengate  11:38:45  I have a couple of items of general biz Sponsorship
Thrush  mostly - does anyone have anything they want to
discuss?

Chris Disspain  11:39:01  November meeting

Peter Dengate  11:39:46  anything else - Ian? Joanna?

Thrush

Ian Chiang  11:40:01  no

Yumi Ohashi  11:40:46  Current status: We have IR, IN, LA, ID - still
approaching, waiting for reply. we need to remind
them Looking at their lack of response, I am getting
skeptical about having any workshop as APTLD in
KL in July. (whole ccTLD workshop is of course
still a possibility) Also, APNIC is asking us whether we send tutor to APNIC training to be held in Fiji coming September. Will prioritize TLDs and study feasibility

Yumi Ohashi 11:41:49 Of course we can invite those candidates if technical committee comes up with any good topic.

Yumi Ohashi 11:42:13 Anyway, will report to the board in more sort-out way later via email.

Peter Dengate 11:42:32 Many thanks Yumi I suppose we are linking sponsorship a lot with technical training. Its how we hope to attract new members.... Do we have anyone from tech committee able to comment on preparation for KL?

Ian Chiang 11:42:41 Sec has also email John H'ng from APNIC regarding our november tech ws

Chris Disspain 11:42:57 what does he say Ian?

Ian Chiang 11:43:29 there's no specific answer from him regarding the novembertech ws

Chris Disspain 11:43:39 I am trying to organise Perth meeting but need to know very soon whether to book 1 day or 2 days for the tech training as well as the 1 day for aptld meetings
Peter Dengate 11:43:50 KL would be good, as so many additional people will be about for ICANN. We don't want to let that get away.... Perth, by contrast, will be only for Pacific new members....

Chris Disspain 11:44:17 Sponsorship - agree with Peter re KL and Perth

Peter Dengate 11:45:44 Can someone remind me what we agreed about tech committee? I think we were looking to strengthen it - less lawyers (sadly) and some real pony tails

Chris Disspain 11:47:16 sounds right by my recollection

Peter Dengate 11:47:46 As far as Fiji, is concerned, I thought we agreed we would be sending people to talk about APTLD, ICANN the GAC and more general matters - including possibly any training that we schedule for Perth...?

Chris Disspain 11:50:16 Yes - that rings a bell

Peter Dengate 11:50:21 Chris - any comments about Perth meeting?

Thrush

Chris Disspain 11:50:42 Only that I need to know very soon whether to book 1 day or 2 days for the tech training as well as the 1 day for aptld meetings
Chris Disspain 11:51:30 as far as i know it has not yet been decided if there will be any tech meeting in Perth - does anyone else know?

Chan-ki Park 11:50:37 It's not decided yet, as far as I recall

Chan-ki Park 11:51:05 Can we discuss this over the email?

Ian Chiang 11:51:09 but the budget is already allocated for the perth tech training

Yumi Ohashi 11:51:31 from the membership com point of view, i do not think we need separate workshop in perth, due to lack of response from potential tlds and lack of connections. how do others think?

Chris Disspain 11:51:36 Chanki - yes

Yumi Ohashi 11:52:10 i know it is sad thing...

Chris Disspain 11:52:20 Yumi - if we are going to have some good tech workshops in KL then i don't think we need to in perth

Peter Dengate 11:52:47 I'd rather concentrate tech training for KL - even though thatsgoing to be busy. Perth will have a smaller attendances, and I rather see it as our strategic planning session for 2005. How about we all get onto pushing people to come to tech training
and other things in KL, and see how we are going in about 2-3 weeks?

Yumi Ohashi 11:52:54 chris - that is true, but we the tech com has not come up with agenda.

Chris Disspain 11:53:02 but we can always put something together at short notice if there is a need because we have sponsored cctlds coming

Chris Disspain 11:53:22 Peter - agree

Peter Dengate 11:54:22 What about also encouraging tech-com, as well?

Thrush

Chris Disspain 11:54:57 Peter - agree

Chris Disspain 11:55:30 in my view we need to have an active aptld board member who is a techie to take control of tech-com

Chris Disspain 11:57:46 I think it is possible that Prof Kanchana is too busy to push this along

Peter Dengate 11:58:20 Well, we have a chair of Tech (Kanchana ), but she's not on the board. How can the board help her? For example, who are other members of tech?

Ian Chiang 11:59:09 Chris Disspain, Yumi Ohashi, Hiro Hotta, Chan-ki

Yumi Ohashi 11:59:36 hotta is on, but he is too busy to lead the committee. maybe he needs someone to collaborate.
Peter Dengate  11:59:56  Having a private with Yumi - have you got an

    Thrush    Aussie who could work with Hrio as a committee
             member?

Chan-ki Park  12:01:14  I will contact Dr Kanchana, and discuss on having
tech ws, and send the result over the email

Peter Dengate  12:02:00  Thanks. I think we need to close up - 2 hours is up.

    Thrush    Any final matters?

Yumi Ohashi  12:02:41  thanks chanki and peter . no other issue from me.

    SHZ, .my  12:02:48  No. Thank you everyone

Chris Disspain  12:03:02  sorry i droped out again

Chris Disspain  12:03:16  after i suggested we appoint a tech person

Chris Disspain  12:03:24  did anyone have any thoughts

       Ian Chiang  12:03:52  Chan-ki said that he will contact Dr Kanchana, and
discuss on having tech ws, and send the result over
the email

Chris Disspain  12:04:00  excellent

Chris Disspain  12:04:01  thanks

Chris Disspain  12:04:40  are we finished

       Ian Chiang  12:04:46  The Secretariat will proceed the following-ups
regarding what we resolved today

Chan-ki Park  12:04:49  I think so

    SHZ, .my  12:05:19  Need to log off now. Have a good weekend all.
Chris Disspain 12:05:30  ok - bye for now - have a great weekend

Peter Dengate 12:05:36  I was also trying to ask you chris, if there was

                   Thrush   an aussie tech who could help - we need to support
                   the committee

Chris Disspain 12:06:03  Peter - i'll see what i can do

Peter Dengate 12:06:22  Ah well, till we meet again - many thanks to all.

                   Thrush   Logging off

Chris Disspain 12:06:28  cheers

Yumi Ohashi  12:06:36  I also appreciate chris. By now everyone.

Chan-ki Park  12:06:45  bye...